

Issue: Compliance – Grievance Procedure (5-Day Rule); Ruling Date: November 23, 2015; Ruling No. 2016-4272; Agency: Virginia Department of Transportation; Outcome: Grievant Not in Compliance.



**COMMONWEALTH of VIRGINIA**  
**Department of Human Resource Management**  
**Office of Employment Dispute Resolution**

**COMPLIANCE RULING**

In the matter of the Virginia Department of Transportation  
Ruling Number 2016-4272  
November 23, 2015

The Virginia Department of Transportation (the “agency”) has requested a compliance ruling from the Office of Employment Dispute Resolution (“EDR”) at the Department of Human Resource Management in relation to the grievant’s August 14, 2015 grievance. The agency alleges that the grievant has failed to comply with the time limits set forth in the grievance procedure for advancing or concluding her grievance.

FACTS

On or about August 14, 2015, the grievant initiated a grievance with the agency. The third step response was sent to the grievant by email on or about September 11, 2015. Having received no further response from the grievant, the agency mailed, by email and regular mail, a notice of noncompliance to her on September 28.<sup>1</sup> In its notice of noncompliance, the agency requested a response from the grievant within five workdays of her receipt of the notice. Since more than five workdays have elapsed since the grievant presumably received notice of her alleged noncompliance and she has not yet advanced or concluded her grievance, the agency seeks a compliance ruling allowing it to administratively close the grievance.

DISCUSSION

The grievance procedure requires both parties to address procedural noncompliance through a specific process.<sup>2</sup> That process assures that the parties first communicate with each other about the noncompliance, and resolve any compliance problems voluntarily, without EDR’s involvement. Specifically, the party claiming noncompliance must notify the other party in writing and allow five workdays for the opposing party to correct any noncompliance.<sup>3</sup> If the opposing party fails to correct the noncompliance within this five-day period, the party claiming

---

<sup>1</sup> The mailing of correspondence, properly addressed and stamped, raises a presumption of receipt of the correspondence by the addressee. *E.g.*, *Washington v. Anderson*, 236 Va. 316, 322, 373 S.E.2d 712, 715 (1988). For purposes of this ruling, therefore, we will assume the grievant received the agency’s notice of noncompliance because there is nothing to indicate that it was improperly addressed. Furthermore, we will also assume the grievant received the agency’s emailed third step response and notice of noncompliance because there is nothing to indicate that either piece of correspondence may have been sent to an incorrect email address or were otherwise improperly addressed. *Cf. id.*

<sup>2</sup> *Grievance Procedure Manual* § 6.3.

<sup>3</sup> *See id.*

noncompliance may seek a compliance ruling from EDR, who may in turn order the party to correct the noncompliance or, in cases of substantial noncompliance, render a decision against the noncomplying party on any qualifiable issue. When an EDR ruling finds that either party to a grievance is in noncompliance, the ruling will (i) order the noncomplying party to correct its noncompliance within a specified time period, and (ii) provide that if the noncompliance is not timely corrected, a decision in favor of the other party will be rendered on any qualifiable issue, unless the noncomplying party can show just cause for the delay in conforming to EDR's order.<sup>4</sup>

In this case, the grievant appears to have failed to advance or conclude her grievance within five workdays of receiving the agency's third resolution step response, as required by the grievance procedure.<sup>5</sup> Moreover, the agency notified the grievant of her noncompliance and the grievant has not advanced or concluded her grievance.

As the grievant has apparently failed to advance or conclude her grievance in a timely manner, she has failed to comply with the grievance procedure. We therefore order the grievant to correct her noncompliance **within ten workdays of the date of this ruling** by notifying her human resources office in writing that she wishes either to conclude the grievance or request qualification from the agency head. If she does not, the agency may administratively close the grievance without any further action on its part. The grievance may be reopened only upon a timely showing by the grievant of just cause for the delay (for example, a serious illness, or other circumstances beyond the grievant's control).

EDR's rulings on matters of compliance are final and nonappealable.<sup>6</sup>



---

Christopher M. Grab  
Director  
Office of Employment Dispute Resolution

---

<sup>4</sup> While in cases of substantial noncompliance with procedural rules the grievance statutes grant EDR the authority to render a decision on a qualifiable issue against a noncompliant party, EDR favors having grievances decided on the merits rather than procedural violations. Thus, EDR will *typically* order noncompliance corrected before rendering a decision against a noncompliant party. However, where a party's noncompliance appears driven by bad faith or a gross disregard of the grievance procedure, EDR will exercise its authority to rule against the party without first ordering the noncompliance to be corrected.

<sup>5</sup> See *Grievance Procedure Manual* § 3.3.

<sup>6</sup> See Va. Code §§ 2.2-1202.1(5), 2.2-3003(G).